

## Corrigendum

Re: Markers and Agencies of Anisotropy in the Indus Sign System, *IJHS* 59

In the text quoted below from Section 6.1 of the article, ‘Differential markers and habitual strings’, some claims need revising, though the data is accurate. The revised claim follows the quoted text from the article.

By accident or scribal error it (sign 𑀓123) never suffixes to a sign that does not double.

The claim in the article that 𑀓123 never suffixes to a sign that does not double is false. The data in ESM 8 shows 18 signs that carry 𑀓123 as a suffix, and they do not double: 𑀓𑀕 32, 𑀓// 94, 𑀓^ 129, 𑀓𑀖 180, 𑀓𑀗 195, 𑀓𑀘 196, 𑀓𑀙 197, 𑀓𑀚 225, 𑀓𑀛 233, 𑀓𑀜 286, 𑀓𑀝 302, 𑀓𑀞 305, 𑀓𑀟 319, 𑀓𑀠 330, 𑀓𑀡 353, 𑀓𑀢 380, 𑀓𑀣 403, 𑀓𑀤 412.

By no means negligible, the error is profoundly regretted. It makes some of the results reported in Section 6.1 more tentative than conclusive. It has little impact on the rest of the paper.

- *Bhaskar M. V., May 7, 2024*